•

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/798,939	03/11/2004	Shinjiro Yamashita	2552-000062	2552-000062 6916	
27572 HARNESS DI	7590 10/31/2007 CKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.		EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 828 BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303			OLANIRAN, FATIMAT O		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			4178		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/31/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

4-44-4-4-4-4-4-4-4-4-4-4-4-4-4-4-4-4-4-4	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/798,939	YAMASHITA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Fatimat O. Olaniran	4178				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timularly and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONEI	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 02 April 2004 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	r election requirement. r. ⊠ accepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
	anniner. Note the attached Office	Action of form FTO-132.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date All.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claim 1-6 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kentish et al. (5778417).

Claim 1, Kentish discloses a sound control system comprising: a mixing unit (Fig. 1, col. 4 line 17-18), which applies a mixing process to a plurality of sound signals input from a plurality of input systems (col. 3 line 23-24), and outputs resultant signals to a plurality of output systems (col. 4 line 47-48); a storing device which stores plural sets of detailed setting information to indicate setting states of respective parameters associated with the mixing process (col. 4 line 29-30, RAM); and a simple control unit which sets of a part of parameters out of respective parameters (col. 4 line 19-23);

wherein the simple control unit includes a plurality of operating pieces (col. 4 line 19-23), and an instruction transmitting unit which transmits an input calling instruction to the mixing unit when a calling instruction of a certain detailed setting information is input via any operating piece out of the plurality of operating pieces (col. 4 line 24-33 instruction transmitting unit :"scanning processor", mixing unit: "control processor and signal processor"), and

Application/Control Number: 10/798,939

Art Unit: 4178

the mixing unit includes a receiving unit which receives the calling instruction of the certain detailed setting information from the simple control unit (col.4 line 31-33, receiving unit: "control processor"), and a deciding unit (col. 4 line 48-50, "panel processor") which decides an authorization parameter that the simple control unit is authorized to set among a plurality of parameters (col. 4 line 47-48, parameters: LEDS, meters) indicated in the certain detailed setting information and associated with the mixing process (col. 4 line 48-55).

Claim 2 Kentish discloses wherein an authorization parameter (col. 5 line 23-24) identifying information to identify a parameter (col. 5 line 24-26) that the simple control unit is authorized to set is contained in the detailed setting information (col. 5 line 65-67 "front panel definition file).

Claim 3 Kentish discloses an information generating device, which generates the detailed setting information in response to an input operation (col. 5 line 39-42, "scanning processor"), and stores a generated detailed information in the storing device (col. 5 48-51 "RAM 30").

Claim 4 Kentish discloses a sound control system comprising: a mixing unit (Fig. 1, col. 4 line 17-18), which applies a mixing process to a plurality of sound signals input from a plurality of input systems (col. 3 line 23-24), and outputs resultant signals to a plurality of output systems (col. 4 line 47-48); a storing device which stores plural sets of detailed

setting information to indicate setting states of respective parameters associated with the mixing process (col. 4 line 29-30, RAM); and a simple control unit which sets a part of parameters out of respective parameters (col. 4 line 19-20); wherein the simple control unit includes a plurality of operating pieces (col. 4 line 20-21), an instruction transmitting unit which transmits an input calling instruction to the mixing unit when a calling instruction of a certain detailed setting information is input via any operating piece out of the plurality of operating pieces (col. 4 line 24-33 instruction transmitting unit: "scanning processor", mixing unit: "control processor and signal processor"), and an assigning unit (col. 4 line 48-50 "panel processor and scanning processor") which receives an authorization parameter information corresponding to the calling instruction from the mixing unit (col. 4 line 48-50), and assigning an authorization parameter to the operating pieces based on the received authorization parameter information (col. 4 line 52-55, information is stored in RAM addresses), and the mixing unit includes a receiving unit which receives the calling instruction from the simple control unit (col.4 line 31-33 "control processor"), and a transmitting unit (col. 4 line 48-50, "control processor") which decides an authorization parameter that the simple control unit is authorized to set among a plurality of parameters (col. 4 line 46-48) indicated in the certain detailed setting information (col. 4 line 48-51, line 61-63 setting information is done through RAM and "global front definition file") and associated with the mixing process, and transmits the authorization parameter to the simple control unit as the authorization parameter information (col. 4 line 52-55).

Application/Control Number: 10/798,939 Page 5

Art Unit: 4178

Claim 5 Kentish discloses wherein an operating piece assigning information to identify an operating piece to which the authorization parameter is assigned is contained in the authorization parameter information (col. 4 line 48-55, line 61-63, authorization parameter information: RAM and "global front definition file", operation pieces: display devices).

Claim 6 Kentish discloses wherein an authorization parameter identifying information to identify a parameter that the simple control unit is authorized to set is contained in the detailed setting information (col. 4 line 48-52, line 59-62, RAM and "local front panel definition file").

Claim 8 Kentish discloses an information generating device which generates the detailed setting information in response to an input operation, and then storing a generated detailed information in the storing device (col. 4 line 27-30, information generating device: "scanning processor", storage device: "RAM").

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 4178

4. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kentish et al. (5778417) in view of Iwamoto et al (6816833).

Claim 7 Kentish does not disclose wherein, when a plurality of simple control units are equipped, the transmitting unit decides the authorization parameter for respective simple control units in such a manner that the authorization parameter is decided differently among respective simple control units.

lwamoto discloses wherein, when a plurality of simple control units are equipped (col. 5 line 62-66) the transmitting unit (col. 5 line 66, "function controller") decides the authorization parameter (col. 6 line 41-44) for respective simple control units in such a manner that the authorization parameter is decided differently among respective simple control units (col. 5 line 62-66, Fig. 1, according to Fig. 1 each controller has different inputs and therefore different authorization parameters). Therefore it would be obvious to one ordinarily skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the mixing console of Kentish with the a plurality of sub-controls as taught by Iwamoto in order to have sub-controls that can command different aspects of the audio process.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fatimat O. Olaniran whose telephone number is 571-270-3437. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F Alt F off 8:30-5 EST.

Art Unit: 4178

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hai Tran can be reached on 571-272-7305. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

FO

HAITRAN PRIMARY EXAMINER